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| 4 minutes  10 seconds | Have you ever used ChatGPT (or any other AI tool)? Do you know if any of your staff uses them? If your staff does, do you want to know about it? What would you do if they admitted to it? |
| 11 minutes  57 seconds | One concern is the issue of hallucinations (where an AI tool makes up a piece of information or advice). Are you worried about hallucinations? How would you guard against them? |
| 15 minutes  19 seconds | AI tools have made huge advances in language translation. One app is called “DeepL Translate.” Hypothetical: You discover that on busy days when all your interpreters are in court, a clerk at the counter uses DeepL Translate to assist non-English speaking customers. Without this help you will either have to send customers away or rely on Language Line which is very expensive. Would you allow using of an app like DeepL Translator as long as it is only at the counter? |
| 22 minutes  10 seconds | Meeting attendees can possibly start connecting to meetings remotely then have an AI app take notes & summarize the meeting. This type of function could productivity, but it could also insult the meeting organizer. I have attended meetings where the executive authority sent someone else who represented the court, but could not give an opinion or cast a vote on any issue of import. Would you bar surrogate apps? Aren’t these two situations functionally the same just that one uses technology? |
| 30 minutes  6 seconds | A new concern is that the arguments that AI tools make might be more persuasive than humans. Able to review thousands of persuasive arguments, it will be possible to come up with the most convincing one for your funding body. Do you see this as a concern? Would you do anything about it? |
| 32 minutes  13 seconds | What advice do you have for court administrators facing the allure of using AI apps such as ChatGPT? |